

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/057,630	01/25/2002	Ronald M. Burch	200.1079CON5 3300		
7590 12/19/2006 Davidson Davidson & Vannal J.J.C.			EXAMINER GROSS, CHRISTOPHER M		
Davidson, Davidson & Kappel, LLC 14th Floor					
485 Seventh Avenue New York, NY 10018		9	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1639		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/057,630	BURCH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christopher M. Gross	1639

	Christopher M. Gross	1639	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>19 September 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);		the leaves for
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beganding and the appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			ine issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	, in the second second
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	mont	211
		MARK L. SH PRIMARY EXA	IBUYA

Application/Control Number: 10/057,630

Art Unit: 1639

Continuation of 3(a)

The proposed amendments to the claims raise 35 USC 112 second paragraph considerations in regard to the number and composition of the pharmaceutically acceptable salts set forth in claim 38.

Continuation of 3(b)

The term "onepharmaceutically" introduces a limitation not found in disclosure as originally filed.

Continuation of 11.

The reconsideration is predicated on entry of the proposed amendments after final, however the amendments will not be entered (see continuation of 3 above).

Continuation of 13.

The proposed amendments to the claims do not change the scope of the claims due to the term comprising in line 2 of claim 1 still representing open language.

Applicant argues that the prior art taught by Baker is not applicable because the suggestion quoted by the Examiner in the last office action is taken out of context and further that the use of ibuprofen by Baker et al teaches away from the claimed invention.

Applicant's arguments have been considered but they are not persuasive because even assuming arguendo, the claims were closed to a composition consisting of nimesulide

Application/Control Number: 10/057,630

Art Unit: 1639

Page 3

and oxycodone, the motivation to combine the two active ingredients is based on the favorable properties of nimesulide as well as the fact that the invention represents substituting art recognized equivalents known for the same purpose (see MPEP 2144.07).

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/057,630	BURCH ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Christopher M. Gross	1639

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

The amendment document filed on <u>19 September 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is required.

tem(s) is required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 □ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. □ B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) □ C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). □ D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. □ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given **no new time period** if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the **entire corrected amendment** must be resubmitted.
- 2. Applicant is given **one month**, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the **corrected section** of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a *Quayle* action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable

Telephone No.

Continuation of 2(b) Other: The proposed amendments to the abstract raise the issue of new matter in that they may change the scope of the originally disclosed invention, specifically the word consisting in line 1 is grammatically ambiguous.

...Continuation of 4(e) Other: The term "onepharmaceutically" introduces a limitation not found in the previous set of claims entered 3/31/2006.